Teachers' Involvement in Managerial Decision-MakingProcesses in Schools

Tikiawati¹*, Siti Drivoka Sulistyaningrum²

^{1,2} Department of English Education, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Negeri Jakarta, Jakarta, Indonesia *Corresponding Author: Email: tikiawati 1212822006@mhs.unj.ac.id

Abstract.

Due to the global movement of educational reforms, teachers' new empowered roles in school had been discussed and promoted. In schools, effective teacher involvement in decision making can be an approach to practicing participatory management. Thus, the author systematically searched for and synthesized evidence from certain studies related to teachers' involvement in school managerial decision-making to encourage research on diverse participation in school administration. This paper uses a systematic literature review, which is a research method for identifying and critically reviewing other related research, as well as for collecting and interpretingdata from such studies. Subsequently, managerial decision-making processes, Strategies of participation in decision-making at the school level, and factors that influence teachers' involvement in decision-making that improve teachers' participation in managerial decisions concerning their roles and opportunities for development and allow for further sharing on issues concerning school management. Then, the results can be used to determine whether the effects of teacher involvement constant across studies and discover whether studies conducted in one context show significantly different resultsfrom those conducted in other contexts.

Keywords: Teacher involvement, school decision making, managerial decision making, and school management.

I. INTRODUCTION

Over the past few years, the advancement of school-centered education received a great deal of attention, both from executives, experts, and educational observers. It is commonly believed that how schools are organized and managed is essential to the students' success and performance of schools. One factor determining school performance is teacher participation in decision-making at the school (Altje et al., 2017). School issues outside the classroom, such as policy planning and decisions making, werehistorically not related to the teachers but school administrators like the principal (Lin, 2014). In other words, teachers' involvement in decision-making can be used as an internal factor that may explain the school's reputability. At the school level, the standard over the past century has been that principals and administrators should be the key decision-makers when it comes to school-level matters. Related studies from (Sarafidou & Chatziioannidis, 2013; Wahlstrom et al., 2010). Asserted that school administrators have long maintained that the key to effective leadership of elementary and secondary schools is to make fundamental teaching and learning activities become the main focus of decision-making and school management. However, many school reformers have been to grant teachers an essential role in schools' decision-making (Ingersoll et al., 2017). Decision making is an essential procedure in any organization. Dunham (2005) asserted that the urgency of developing decision-making skills needs to be stated very strongly because the well-being of all members of the school community and even the sustainability of the school itself rely on the decision-making skills of senior and middle managers. Besides, there are three levels of teacher participation; participation at the individual level, participation at the group level; and participation at the school level (Erena, 2018).

The decision-making process can be considered to consist of steps starting with problemidentification and finish with the evaluation of its outcomes. Organizing decisions were viewed as efforts to program, coordinate, and implement-the total effort to make a plan operational. (Stichter et al., 2019) identified the following steps in the managerial decision-making processes: 1) Identify the decision to be made. As it is a must to identify the problem that needs to be solved. It is realized that a decision needs to be conducted.2) Collect relevant information. Many decisions involve the compilation of appropriate information. The real trait in this step is to know what information is needed, the best sources of information, and how to access it. 3) Identify alternatives. Identify two or more possible directions of action by the process of gathering information. 4) Weigh evidence. Assess whether the problem identified in step 1 would be helped or solved through the use of each alternative. 5) Choose among alternatives. If all the evidence hasbeen weighed, proper alternatives can be chosen. A combination of alternatives could beused. 6) Take action. By creating a plan to make a choice tangible and achievable. Takingany positive action that begins with the alternative chosen in the previous step. 7) Review decision and consequences. Efforts here include the assessment of the degree to which plans are being affected or have been achieved.Some researchers who do research on school restructuring supported the claim of teachers' involvement in the decision-making process about school critical policies or projects (Caldwell, 2008; Hansson & Gamage, 2013; Lin, 2014). Thus, school principalsare supposed to facilitate how teachers understand and implement their teaching responsibilities following the school's instructional programs.

In turn, teachers are supposed to facilitate the process by which pupils acquire the knowledge and skills that are implied from these programs. Therefore, according to Gokturk & Mueller (2010), teachers can play a significant role in the general success of the school by committing themselves to be active participants in the decision-making process. Much of the literature focuses primarily on the outcomes of the school decision-making by principals (Petrosino et al., 2012; Sergis et al., 2018) or others were related to the teachers' decision-making at the classroom level (Hitt & Tucker, 2016; Prenger & Schildkamp, 2018). This is undoubtedly due to the relative ease of measuring such outcomes, as well as the shorter time usually needed to identify effect on intermediate outcomes rather than to analyzing the process of decision-making when comes to the teachers' involvement in school management areas. To fill the gap, this study would like to define teachers' involvement in managerial decision-making in school as an organization and how they are supposed to work basedon its strategies and step-by-step process. Then, the review objectives and methods, followed by synthesis of evidence on effects and discussion of the mechanisms supporting the positive and negative effects. At the end, there is provided implications for policy, programs and research.

II. METHODS

This study used a systematic literature review, which is a research method for identifying and critically reviewing other related research, as well as for collecting and interpreting data from such studies (Snyder, 2019). Additionally, Davis et al. (2014) asserted that systematic reviews are used to combine results across studies to determine an overall effect. They added systematic review refers to the process of systematically locating and collating all available information on an effect. "In order to obtain the best possible estimate of an intervention's effectiveness, it may be necessary to review sources that investigate the problem using multiple methodologies. It is, therefore, useful to include conclusions from qualitative studies, information about treatment integrity and difficulties of implementation, and other non-empirical information arising from primarystudies in a narrative review section." (Davis et al., 2014, p. 4). The data discussed in this paper was derived from 10 research papers related to the teachers' decision-makinginvolvement in various school levels with different contexts around the globe.

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

This section describes, compares, and discuss the studies reviewed within each focus and theoretical perspective, including the outcomes generated by the studies. Certain relevant literature was identified for published literature (for example, journal articles, books, conference papers, reports and process evaluations) and unpublished literature (for example, dissertations, theses and unpublished empirical studies).

Focus of the Study/Author	School Level	Data	Result Interpretation
	/ Region		
The involvement ofteacher in the	Higher	Observationresults	- Principal and teachers' perception of teachers'
decision-making process to improve	secondary school		involvement in school decision making.
school performance	(SMA) in		
(Altje et al., 2017)	Manado,		- Teachers' attitude in accepting the opportunities
	Indonesia		to be involvedin school decision making.
			- The decision making patterns and the way
			teachers give aspirations.

 Table 1. Data Analysis Result



	C . 1 1	T	
		Interview results	- In 4 of the 5 participating schools, teachers
the anagement ofteachingload allocation (Wadesango &Bayaga,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		were not involved in subject and teaching load
		•	allocation.
2013)		(teachers' recruitment	- The school management teams in some schools
			make certain decisions in the schools without
		practices)	consulting teachers.
			 Teachers further wanted their views to be heard
			andacknowledged by the school
			system.
Teachers' actual and desired levels of	Primary schools	Questionnaire	- High actual participation in decisions
involvement in different			concerning students' and teachers' issues, but low
domain of school decision	Greek		levels of participation inmanagerial decisions.
making.(Sarafidou& Chatziioannidis,	,		- Greater participation in decisions concerning
2013)			teacher issues and lower levels of participating in
			managerial issues were associated with teachers'
			perceptions of better leadership and higher
			collegiality in schools.
Areas of decision making are teachers	Secondary	Questionnaire,	- Teachers' involvement in all areas of school
involved.		interview and	decision-makingin general was unsatisfactory.
(Erena, 2018)		observation results.	
(Erena, 2010)	Ethiopia	observation results.	reachers involved most in issues related to
	Lunopia		student disciplinary problems and least
	TT' 1 1 1 '	9	in school building.
Perceptions ofteachers' involvement			- School administrators were more enthusiastic
in educational decision- making			than teachers about their involvement indecisions-
(Shawis, 2016)		structured	making.
		interview	- The importance of considering school-teachers'
			involvement in
			any educational reformattempting to improve
			the decision-making process and the educational
			system.
The extent of teachers'involvement in		Questionnaire	- Teachers' involvement in decision making
thedecision-making process	schools in		processes was very high.
(Olorunsola &Olayemi, 2011)	Ekitistate,Nigeria		- Here was disparity in the involvement of male
			and femaleteachers in decision makingprocesses.
			- Educational qualification and teacher's years of
			experience were not found to be barrier to their
			involvement in decision
			making processes.
The extent of teachers' participation in	Secondary	Questionnaire	- Teachers do participate in decision-making
decision making forschool sustainable		Zur ostioninano	areas like the academic and general students'
development	River state,		welfare except in their welfare.
(Osuji & Koko, 2016)	Nigeria		- Teachers' participation in decision-making
(Obuji & Roko, 2010)	i (igeria		has been very low, affecting the management of
			secondary schools negatively.
			- Teachers' participation in decision making
			process increases their job morale and
			effectiveness, resulting in
	a 1 1 · ~:	a • • • •	schools goal attainment.
Exploring the current levels, views,			- Few teachers were found participating at the
and expectations of		interviews and	school level, while the majority participated at the
stakeholders withregards to teacher		close-ended	classroom level, with some at the committee/group
participation in schooldecision-		questionnaire.	level.
making (Dampson & Afful-Broni,			- Teachers expect headteachers to motivate them
2018)			to participate in school decision-making, trust,
			and transparency in all school-related issues.
			- Participants shared the common view that the
			end product of teacher participation in school
			decision-making is
			school improvement.
			p
Teacher and administrative staff	Primary schools	Ouestionnaire	- A significant difference wasfound in teachers'
Teacher and administrative staff	Primary schools in	Questionnaire	- A significant difference wasfound in teachers' participation

views on teachers' participation	NorthernCyprus			in educational and administrative
in schooldecision-making processes				decisions.
(Eriș et al., 2017)				- A significant difference was not met in teacher and administrative staff views on teachers' participation in school-related (educational & administrative) decisions.
				- Teachers participate more in the educational (in comparison with administrative decisions) decision making process.
The practices ofteachers' involvement in school decision-making		Questionnaire, interview, a	and	 School leaders practice in encouraging teachers' involvement in school decision-
(Gemechu, 2014)	Jimma Town, Ethiopia	observation		making was found to be ineffective. - Teachers role in school decision-making not have been given.

According to the reviewed studies above, decision-making is one of the key managementskills with an interrelation and is also very relevant to other skills such as leadership and delegation. Teachers usually have more complete understanding of their work management; thus, if teachers involved in decision making, decision would be reached from a greater source of information. However, sometimes teacher involvement is meant to provide school administrators access to critical information that is closest to the source of certain problems of schooling, that is, the classroom. The vision and goals for the school must be the foremost priority for all participants in decision making process and it is the principal's duty to make them known (Pashiardis, 1994). Therefore, the principal must be prepared and encouraged to exert leadership on instructional issues. The school's vision and priorities must be the main focus for all participants in the decision-making process, and it is the principal's responsibility to make them known. In such a case, the decision-making process includes all of the activities that can be associated with problem-solving. Thus, based on this school of thought, the decision- making is deeper than problem-solving and more comprehensively conveys the function of school principals (Glasman & Nevo, 1988). The growing importance of the involvement in decision-making can be seen by the effect it has had on reducing the relative influence of the executive officers while at the same time providing a path to democracy within the organization (Altje et al., 2017). Such involvement would build a strong sense of collaboration and improve mutual understanding and tolerance between employees to increase the level and quality of organizational productivity.

As a result, it is recommended to emphasize continuing professional development (CPD) to decisionmaking skills.Many researchers argued that teacher involvement in school decision-making had manybenefits for teachers and schools, such as increased dedication, job satisfaction, increased motivation, and greater responsibility (Carr-Hill et al., 2018; Cheng, 2008; Petrosino et al., 2012; Wadesango & Bayaga, 2013). As already noted, there is evidence of an association between teachers' participation in decision making and perceptions of school climate, job satisfaction and self-efficacy (Sarafidou & Chatziioannidis, 2013). The extent of teachers' involvement in decision-making was varied from one school to another concerning on the issues under consideration. Nonetheless, principals can be a powerful force for school change when they are flexible enough to allow teachers to take part in rational problem solving and responsible, widely shared decision making. The allocation of time as evidence of administrator commitment will encourage teachers to initiate and continue their involvement in the process. In a similar way, one of the intentions for involving teachers in decision making is a way to improve the productivity and effectiveness of an educational organization (Erena, 2018). For the purpose of this study, the researcher had identified six potential decisional areas for teachers to participate in managerial decision-making. The selection of these is made by taking the current school practices under the study in to account. The areas identified include school planning, curriculum and instruction, school policies, rules and regulation, school budget and funding generation, students' affairs and school discipline, and school building. In that case, teachers can play an important role in each of these areas if given the opportunity. Participation in and participation to the decision-making processes are an indispensable condition of participatory management (Pashiardis, 1994). Teachers must go

https://ijersc.org/

beyond the conventional role of classroom instructor. They need to expand their role to include curriculum development, teacher evaluation, school improvement, and even to leadership since all of these areas are essential to the participatory decision-making process.

IV. CONCLUSION

The impact of teachers' involvement in school managerial decision-making practice is, therefore, likely to differ depending on a wide variety of implementation factors, relating to the objective of the reform, the decisions that are delegated, the individuals given decision-making authority and the nature of the decision-making process. On the other hand, the successful manager, the one that can make positive change, is the one that canadapt his or her style to any situation in school. Thus, decision-making is very important significant in school and in any organization at large to conduct work, distribute resources, plan short-term and long-term of bringing about the future state of affairs as an intention, and activities of the school. As for the self-efficacy regarding decision- making, it was essential for teacher to have confidence in performing this new role well. Finally, findings of this study are expected to be the foundation for the development of the next issue in other areas of education management and research education administration which directly related to the decision-making practice.

REFERENCES

- Altje, J., Rawis, M., & Kaligis, J. N. (2017). Teacher Participation in Decision-Making in High School Achievement (Case Study on SMU Negeri 1 Manado). *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science Invention*, 6(5), 29–35.
- [2] Caldwell, B. J. (2008). Reconceptualizing the self-managing school. Educational Management Administration and Leadership, 36(2), 235–252. https://doi.org/10.1177/1741143207087775
- [3] Carr-Hill, R., Rolleston, C., Schendel, R., & Waddington, H. (2018). The effectiveness of school-based decision making in improving educational outcomes: a systematic review. *Journal of Development Effectiveness*, 10(1), 61–94. https://doi.org/10.1080/19439342.2018.1440250
- [4] Cheng, C. K. (2008). The effect of shared decision-making on the improvement in teachers' job development. New Horizons in Education (Hong Kong), 56, 31–46. Dampson, D. G., & Afful-Broni, A. (2018). TEACHER PARTICIPATION INSCHOOL DECISION-MAKING IN GHANAIAN BASICSCHOOLS: LOOKING BACK AND MOVING FORWARD, WHAT STAKEHOLDERSSAY? *Int. J. Educ. Stud.*, 05(02), 91–102.
- [5] Harahap, Arman ,2018, Macrozoobenthos diversity as bioindicator of water quality in the Bilah river, Rantauprapat, Medan. *J. Phys.*: Conf. Ser. 1116 052026.
- [6] Davis, J., Mengersen, K., Bennett, S., & Mazerolle, L. (2014). Viewing systematic reviews and meta-analysis in social research through different lenses. SpringerPlus, 3(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/2193-1801-3-511
- [7] Dunham, J. (2005). Developing Effective School Management. In Developing Effective School Management. Erena, L. (2018). Teachers' Participation in Decision Making in Government Secondary Schools in Nekemte College of Education and Behavioral Studies Department of Educational Planning and Management Leadership. Addis Ababa University.
- [8] Eriş, H., Kayhan, H., Baştaş, M., & Gamar, C. (2017). Teacher and administrative staff views on teachers' participation in decision making process. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 13(11), 7411–7420. https://doi.org/10.12973/ejmste/79794
- [9] Gemechu, D. (2014). The Practices of Teachers' Involvement in Decision-Making in Government Secondary Schools of Jimma Town. Institute of Educational and Professional Development Studies, Jimma University.
- [10] Glasman, N. S., & Nevo, D. (1988). Evaluation in Decision Making (The Case of School Administration) (G. F. Madaus, B. College, & C. Hill (eds.)). Kluwer AcademicPublisher.
- [11] Gokturk, S., & Mueller, R. O. (2010). Multidimensionality of Teacher Participation in School Decision Making. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, 10(14), 1421–1427.https://doi.org/10.3923/jas.2010.1421.1427
- [12] Harahap, A. P. Hrp, N.K.A.R. Dewi, Macrozoobenthos diversity as anbioindicator of the water quality in the River Kualuh Labuhanbatu Utara, *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research*, 9(4), 2020, pp. 179-183.
- [13] Hansson, P., & Gamage, D. (2013). A Study of Professional Development of Swedish School Leaders and Their Views on How it Needs to be Navigated. World Studiesin Education, 9(1), 55–72.

https://ijersc.org/

- [14] Hitt, D. H., & Tucker, P. D. (2016). Systematic Review of Key Leader Practices Found to Influence Student Achievement: A Unified Framework. Review of Educational Research, 86(2), 531–569.
- [15] Ingersoll, R. M., Sirinides, P., & Dougherty, P. (2017). School leadership, teachers' rolesin school decisionmaking, and student achievement. CPRE Working Papers, 20.https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED586777.pdf
- [16] Lin, Y. J. (2014). Teacher Involvement in School Decision Making. *Journal of Studies in Education*, 4(3), 50. https://doi.org/10.5296/jse.v4i3.6179
- [17] Olorunsola, E. O., &Olayemi, AO.(2011). Teachers participation in decision makingprocess in secondary schools in Ekiti State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Education Administration and Policy Studies*, 3(6), 78–84.
- [18] Osuji, C. U., & Koko, P. M. N. (2016). Teachers 'Participation in Decision Making forSustainable Development : A Case of Rivers State Secondary Schools Teachers 'Participation in Decision Making for Sustainable Development : A Case of RiversState Secondary Schools. January 2020.
- [19] Harahap, A. et, all, Macrozoobenthos diversity as anbioindicator of the water quality in the Sungai Kualuh Labuhanbatu Utara, AACL Bioflux, 2022, Vol 15, Issue 6.
- [20] Pashiardis, P. (1994). Teacher participation in school decision making. *International Journal of Educational Management*,8(5),21–28.https://doi.org/10.1080/0305764800100104
- [21] Petrosino, A., Morgan, C., Fronius, T. A., Tanner-Smith, E. E., & Boruch, R. F. (2012). Interventions in Developing Nations for Improving Primary and Secondary School Enrollment of Children: A Systematic Review. Campbell Systematic Reviews, 8(1). https://doi.org/10.4073/csr.2012.19
- [22] Prenger, R., & Schildkamp, K. (2018). Data-based decision making for teacher and student learning: a psychological perspective on the role of the teacher. Educational Psychology, 38(6), 734–752.
- [23] Sarafidou, J. O., & Chatziioannidis, G. (2013). Teacher participation in decision making and its impact on school and teachers. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 27(2), 170–183.
- [24] Sergis, S., Sampson, D. G., & Giannakos, M. N. (2018). Supporting school leadership decision making with holistic school analytics: Bridging the qualitative- quantitative divide using fuzzy-set qualitative comparative analysis. Computers in Human Behavior, 89, 355–366. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2018.06.016
- [25] Shawis, R. K. A. (2016). Perceptions of Schoolteachers' Involvement in Educational Decision- Making in the State of Qatar. The University of Exeter.
- [26] Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. Journal of Business Research, 104(March), 333–339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039
- [27] Stichter, J. P., Malugen, E. C., & Davenport, M. A. (2019). A Six-Step Decision-MakingProcess to Guide Social Skills Instruction. Intervention in School and Clinic, 54(3), 149–159. https://doi.org/10.1177/1053451218767901
- [28] Wadesango, N., & Bayaga, A. (2013). Management of schools: Teachers involvement in decision making processes. African Journal of Business Management, 7(17), 1689–1694. https://doi.org/10.5897/AJBM12.1263
- [29] Wahlstrom, K. L., Louis, K. S., Leithwood, K., & Anderson, S. E. (2010). Learning fromLeadership: Investigating the Links to Improved Student Learning. The InformedEducator Series. Educational Research Service, March.